DENNETT WINSPEAR

JENNIFER INSLEY MICHERI, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10089 2 jinsley-micheri@dennettwinspear.com **DENNETT WINSPEAR, LLP** 3 3301 N. Buffalo Drive, Suite 195 Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 4 Telephone: (702) 839-1100 Facsimile: (702) 839-1113 5 Attorneys for Defendant, Progressive Direct Insurance Company 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 DISTRICT OF NEVADA MOHAMED HYATT ETTAGHI and CSILLA ZOSK, both individually and as husband and 10 CASE NO: 2:24-CV-01050-APG-BNW wife. 11 Plaintiffs, 12 VS. 13 STIPULATION AND ORDER TO PROGRESSIVE DIRECT INSURANCE **CONTINUE DISCOVERY** COMPANY: DOE INSURANCE COMPANY 14 (SECOND JOINT REQUEST) I through X; DOE DRIVER I through X; DOES REGISTERED OWNERS I through X; DOES I 15 through X; DOE EMPLOYEES/AGENTS I through XX; ROE CORPORATIONS A

Pursuant to the Court's Local Rule 26-3, PLAINTIFFS, MOHAMED HYATT ETTAGHI AND CSILLA ZOSK, by and through their counsel of record, Robert Qualey, Esq., of the Qualey

Defendants.

"PROGRESSIVE INSURANCE"), by and through its counsel of record, JENNIFER INSLEY

Law Firm; and DEFENDANT, PROGRESSIVE DIRECT INSURANCE COMPANY (hereinafter

MICHERI, ESQ., of the law firm of DENNETT WINSPEAR, LLP, respectfully move the

Honorable Magistrate Judge for an Order continuing Discovery and submit the instant Stipulation

in accordance with LR 6-1 and LR 26-4. The parties have conferred and agree that an extension

of discovery is both necessary and warranted. This request contains basis to extend for good

26 cause.

through Z, inclusive,

The parties met and conferred on August 14, 2024, pursuant to FRCP 26(f). The parties

28

27

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

have exchanged their respective FRCP Productions. A proposed stipulated discovery plan and scheduling order was presented to the Court, and the Court issued its Order Approving Discovery Plan on September 13, 2024 [Doc 14]. Thereafter, discovery dates were again extended [Doc 17] by this Honorable Court in response to the Parties First Stipulation for Extension of Time [Doc 17], which extension was previously requested on the basis that Plaintiffs are experiencing health issues unrelated to the subject accident and needed additional time in which to respond to Defendant's written discovery and present for deposition.

Unfortunately, Plaintiff recently informed defense counsel that Plaintiff Ettaghi passed away from causes unrelated to the subject accident. With that said, given the impending initial expert deadline of March 25, 2025, and Plaintiff Zosk (Plaintiff Ettaghi's wife) deposition pending, the parties hereto respectfully request that discovery be extended for a period of an additional forty-five (45) days.

The parties have engaged in initial discovery and Defendant has noticed party depositions, and Plaintiff's have responded to written discovery requests by Defendant. Given each Plaintiff's potential pre-accident conditions, the recent passing of Plaintiff Ettaghi, Plaintiff Zosk's current grieving period, and the current settlement discussions between the remaining parties, and in order to complete timely initial factual and expert discovery in the unlikely event that this case fails to settle pursuant to ongoing discussions, the parties respectfully request an extension of the current discovery dates.

The parties have conferred and agree that an additional extension of time in which to complete all remaining discovery is warranted, good cause exists for the extension, and the parties submit an additional extension of time is warranted, as detailed herein.

An extension of time for discovery is necessary and good cause exists for the extension pursuant to Local Rule 26-4. Pursuant to that Rule, the parties submit the following:

The parties hereby request that the close of discovery in this matter be continued for forty-five days (45) days. This Stipulation is supported by good cause, and the request to extend the date for close of discovery was submitted prior to twenty-one days before the initial expert deadline.

Z

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This Stipulation is supported by good cause. Since the Court issued its Order Approving Discovery Plan [Doc 14], the parties have worked diligently to complete discovery, but were unable to complete all necessary discovery given inability to timely set each Plaintiff's deposition and set potentially necessary examination regarding each Plaintiff's relevant claims. However, the parties have exchanged documents and written discovery, however, require additional time following the recent passing of Plaintiff Ettaghi, grieving of his wife, Plaintiff Zosk, and parties will go forward with depositions of parties, treating doctors and experts due and disclose experts should this case fail to settle. The parties are now working to complete necessary discovery, but require time in which to do so.

Despite party efforts, additional time is required in order to complete the necessary depositions enumerated herein.

An extension is also warranted and good cause exists because Defendant has been diligent in its efforts to obtain relevant medical records, billing, and documents (for both pre and post-accident treatment and conditions) but has not yet received all of the records sought, and to enable the parties to gather evidence necessary in which to support any request for dispositive relief in this matter, and so that the parties may adequately prepare their case in anticipation of trial.

The parties are requesting an extension of the close of discovery so testimony of relevant experts and party representatives may be obtained and expert reporting completed. Thereafter, further time is necessary in order for the parties to provide an expert with relevant records for expert review and evaluation, with resulting opinion.

This Stipulation and request for extension of the close of discovery is made more than twenty-one (21) days before the expiration of the deadline for discovery in this case, and initial expert disclosure deadline which is currently March 25, 2025.

#### (a) DISCOVERY THAT HAS BEEN COMPLETED:

Counsel for the respective parties participated in a discovery planning conference pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(f). Lists of witnesses and document productions were thereafter exchanged by and between the parties, with several supplements also disclosed.

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Defendant propounded written discovery on each Plaintiff and each Plaintiff has served responses. Plaintiff Zosk deposition is currently set for February 27, 2025, and will go forward if the parties ongoing settlement discussions fail to resolve the matter. Unfortunately, Plaintiff Ettaghi recently passed away. As such, discovery regarding Mr. Ettaghi has paused. Defendant requested and Plaintiff will also provide additional, executed authorizations to obtain additional, relevant pre and post-accident records. The parties are currently working to set the remaining and necessary depositions of remaining experts and witnesses should this matter fail to settle.

#### (b) DISCOVERY THAT REMAINS TO BE COMPLETED PURSUANT TO LR 26-3(b):

The parties are currently in the process of conducting additional written and factual discovery, followed by depositions of Plaintiff Zosk, experts and treating physicians. Additionally, the parties anticipate potentially conducting additional expert discovery, and additional factual and expert discovery and depositions should this case fail to settle.

Despite the fact that the parties have worked together to complete this necessary discovery and set depositions, the discovery and depositions have not yet been noticed due to the recent passing of Plaintiff Ettaghi, and the grieving period of his wife, Plaintiff Zosk, as well as lack of availability for testimony within the current discovery timeline.

### REASONS DISCOVERY WILL NOT BE COMPLETED WITHIN THE TIME LIMITS SET (c) BY THE DISCOVERY PLAN:

Further time is necessary in order for the parties to complete party and expert discovery and depositions, which timeline was complicated by the the recent passing of Plaintiff Ettaghi, and the grieving period of his wife, Plaintiff Zosk, as well as lack of availability for testimony within the current discovery timeline.

Additional time is needed to depose Plaintiff Zosk, and physicians should the parties active settlement discussions fail to resolve the matter. The failure to set depositions within the relevant discovery timeline excusable neglect due to scheduling and availability counsel, and specifically complicated by the recent passing of Plaintiff Ettaghi. With that said, the parties are now actively engaged in settlement discussions and are working to resolve the matter. However, should the matter fail to settle, the parties will need additional time in which to complete 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

necessary discovery. Counsel is working together to complete necessary remaining discovery, but require additional time in which to do so.

#### (d) PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR COMPLETING REMAINING DISCOVERY:

The parties hereby stipulate and request that discovery dates in this matter be continued for an additional (45) days.

CURRENT DATE	PROPOSED DATE
Close of Discovery: May 22, 2025	July 7, 2025 <sup>1</sup>
Amend Pleadings/add parties: February 21, 2025	April 8, 2025
Expert Disclosures: March 25, 2025	May 8, 2025
Rebuttal Experts: April 23, 2025	June 9, 2025
Dispositive Motions: June 23, 2025	August 6, 2025
Pre-Trial Order: July 23, 2025	September 5, 2025

DATED this <u>13th</u> day of February, 2025. DATED this 13th day of February, 2025.

### **QUALEY LAW GROUP**

By /s/ Robett S. Qualey
Robert S. Qualey, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 3570
711 Mall Ring Circle, Suite 200
Henderson, Nevada 89014
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Mohamed Hyatt Ettaghi and
Csilla Zsok

/s/ Jennifer Insley Micheri JENNIFER INSEY MICHERI, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10089

3301 N. Buffalo Drive, Suite 195 Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 Telephone :(702) 839-1100 Facsimile: (702) 839-1113

**DENNETT WINSPEAR, LLP** 

Attorneys for Defendant, Progressive **Direct Insurance Company** 

## ORDER

Good cause appearing therefore, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED February 18, 2025

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Deadline falls on Saturday, July 5, 2025 and is therefore relegated to Monday, July 7, 2025.

# **Anthony Amendola**

**From:** rqualey@qualeylawfirm.com

Sent: Thursday, February 13, 2025 3:59 PM

**To:** Jennifer Insley Micheri

Cc: Marisa McGuigan; 65f519d3e+matter1721670080@maildrop.clio.com; Ashley Marchant;

Theresa Amendola; Anthony Amendola

**Subject:** RE: ttaghi v Progressive - Case No. 2:24-cv-01050-APG-BNW

Looks good - you can add e-signature

----Original Message-----

From: "Jennifer Insley Micheri" <jinsley-micheri@dennettwinspear.com>

Sent: Thursday, February 13, 2025 3:54pm

To: "rqualey@qualeylawfirm.com" <rqualey@qualeylawfirm.com>

Cc: "Marisa McGuigan" <mmcguigan@qualeylawfirm.com>, "65f519d3e+matter1721670080@maildrop.clio.com" <65f519d3e+matter1721670080@maildrop.clio.com>, "Ashley Marchant" <amarchant@dennettwinspear.com>, "Theresa Amendola" <tamendola@dennettwinspear.com>, "Anthony Amendola" <aamendola@dennettwinspear.com> Subject: RE: ttaghi v Progressive - Case No. 2:24-cv-01050-APG-BNW

Hi Robert,

Attached is the SAO to extend discovery during settlement negotiations that we discussed. Please advise if we have permission to submit it to the Court with your signature.

Thanks.

Jennifer Insley Micheri, Esq.

3301 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 195 Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 702.970.7711 - Direct 702.839.1100 - Main 702.839.1113 - Fax

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: INFORMATION IN THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL USE OF THE RECIPIENT(S) NAMED ABOVE. This message may be an Attorney-Client communication, or may be an Attorney Work Product, and is therefore privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to an intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this message in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by return email, delete the message and return any hard copy printouts to the address above. Thank you.

----Original Message-----

From: rqualey@qualeylawfirm.com

Sent: Tuesday, November 19, 2024 8:14 PM

To: Jennifer Insley Micheri <jinsley-micheri@dennettwinspear.com>

Cc: Marisa McGuigan <a href="mailto:kmmcguigan@qualeylawfirm.com">kmmcguigan@qualeylawfirm.com</a>; 65f519d3e+matter1721670080@maildrop.clio.com; Ashley Marchant <a href="mailto:kmmcguigan@qualeylawfirm.com">kmmcguigan@qualeylawfirm.com</a>; 7heresa Amendola <a href="mailto:kmmcguigan@qualeylawfirm.com">kmmcguigan@qualeylawfirm.com</a>; 8heresa <a href="mailto:kmmcguigan@qualeylawfirm.com">kmmcguigan@qualeylawfirm.com</a>; 8heresa <a href="mailto:kmmcguigan@qualeylawfirm.com">kmmcguigan@qu

Subject: RE: ttaghi v Progressive - Case No. 2:24-cv-01050-APG-BNW

yes, please add my e-/s/.

Thank you,